

EDITORIAL POLICIES

Peer-review process

All manuscripts are first reviewed by at least one member of the editorial board and, second, are submitted to an appropriate reviewer, following author recommendation about the required domain of expertise, or chosen from the Advisory Board. During the entire review process, the identity of the reviewer is kept confidential to the author. The reviewer is supplied with a score Review Form, the evaluation criteria being: Relevance, Originality, Scientific level, Clarity and References, weighted differently for reviews or original papers. For each criterion the reviewer has to justify the score and provide comments for the author and editor if necessary. The final recommendation can be: accept without changes, minor revision, major revision, reject. The Editor-in-Chief has the final decision.

Legal Issues

Copyright issues: when submitting a manuscript, authors are requested to make a written statement that the paper work has not been published before and that it is not under consideration for publication anywhere else. Also, the paper submission have to be approved by all co-authors, if any, as well as, if necessary, by the responsible authorities – i.e. local bioethics committee – at the host institution. The publisher will not be held legally responsible as should there be no claims for compensation. After acceptance for publication, authors are requested to assign and to transfer all of the rights and interest in and to the copyright of the submitted work (in its current form or in any form subsequently revised for publication and/or electronic dissemination) on the Editor-en-Chief behalf.

Conflict of interest: in the same statement, authors are expected to disclose any commercial affiliations (employment, consultancies, stock ownership, and equity interests) or patent-licensing arrangements that could be considered to pose a conflict of interest regarding the submitted manuscript. Specifics of such disclosures will remain confidential. All funding sources, institutional and corporate, should be credited in the *Acknowledgments* section of the manuscript. In the peer-review process, the reviewers are required to declare and to disclose any potential conflicts of interest that may disqualify themselves for the manuscript evaluation.

Ethical issues: Papers containing studies on human or animal subjects have to obtain approval from an appropriate Institutional Ethics Committee and that has to be stated specifically in the manuscript. Otherwise, the author has to warrant that in his work there are no human or animal studies.
